Addendum et corrigendum dated 16th April 1906 to Rule of Practice No. 2, dated 12th June 1878.

The Chief Court directs that in the form of Register No. XIV, prescribed by the said Rule columns 24 to 33 be expunged and that for the form of Register No. XV, the following be substituted :—

REGISTER No. XV (CIVIL).

Daily Register of Court Fees in the Court of

Serial number	Nature of document and reference to connected case	Name of party or his lawyer presenting the document	Search fees	Process fees	Amount realized under Regulation III of 1900, Schedule I, Articles 1 to 8	Amount realized under the same Schedule Nos. 9 to 11	Amount realized under Schedule II	Value of cancelled Court fee stamps on copies executed	Total fees	Initials of clerk to whom document is consigned with date	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

Instructions—

(1) No column is provided for date which should be written across the columns in red ink.

(2) In column 5 the value of all stamps deposited on account of process fees whether the processes are issued or not should be entered and in the case of unused stamps which are destroyed, a note should be made against the entry in the remark column under the initials of the Judge as required by addendum dated 20th March 1903 to Rule of Practice No. 89.

(3) Similar note should also be made in the remark column in the case of stamps, the value of which is refunded.

(4) Where plaints and memoranda of appeals, &c., are returned or before registration rejected, a note to the effect should be made in the remark column with the date of return or rejection.

(5) The totals of columns 4 to 9 should be struck daily as well as monthly and annually.

V. A. RAJARATNA MUDALIAR,
Registrar.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

Circular No. 2084—T. A. 163, dated 9th April 1906.

To—All Heads of Departments and Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Under Articles 528 (2) and (4) and Note (1) to Article 561 (ii) of the Mysore Service Regulations, Non-gazetted and Ministerial Officers of Government and menial servants of certain classes are entitled to draw their actual travelling expenses by road, subject to a maximum of a specified rate per every mile of road travelled. It would appear that in a large number of cases, Heads of Offices or Departments allow these officers or servants the maximum mileage rates admissible to them without reference to the actual expenditure. This is irregular and leads to correspondence and delay in passing the bills in audit. Countersigning Officers are therefore requested to carefully scrutinize all claims of Non-gazetted Officers and menials in the light of the above remarks and not to pass the maximum rates as a matter of course.

N. G. BASU,
Comptroller.